

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,926	09/09/2003	Marcus Janke	S&ZIO020201	5142
24131 LERNER GRE	7590 07/31/2007 ENBERG STEMER LL	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2480			PARTHASARATHY, PRAMILA	
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
•				
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		07/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

_	<u> </u>	
_	7	
	0	_

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/657,926	JANKE, MARCUS
Examiner	Art Unit
Pramila Parthasarathy	2136

	Pramila Parthasarathy	2136					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	000100				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	politication and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE holow):	ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i L below),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)				
		impliant Amendment	(F10L-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Carlo Clara	4				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).							
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 3. Other:							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments with respect to 35 USC 112 rejection is not persuassive. Examiner does not agree that "wherein the state unit includes a capacitor and the state is charge state of the capacitor" is supported by "when an operation is performed by the computation unit 12 the electrical capacitance 30 is charged up under the control of a switching event of a FET". In fact, instant specification specifically discloses that this electical capacitance carries no charge (see paragraph 0024). However, if the applicant amends the independent claims to recite the subject matter that is discloses in paragraph [0025] of the instant specification, the claims would overcome 35 USC 112 rejection. As per the limitation "the state unit is designed to cause an increase of a variable ...", "an increase of a variable" makes it a broad limitation. However, if the applicant would amend the claims to recite "...a variable, wherein the variable is a charge quantity" and with the amendedment that is already suggested above, the amended claims will overcome cited prior art rejection as well. Examiner advises not to add any new matter to the specification or claims, but amend the claims within the limits of disclosed subject matter. Examiner further directs applicant's attention with respect to Double patenting rejection which has been withdrawn.

> NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**

7,27,07